



HYDROCARBON PUBLISHING COMPANY

Translating Knowledge into ProfitabilitySM



Hydrocarbon Publishing Company, PO Box 661 Southeastern, PA 19399 (USA), Tel: 610-408-0117 www.hydrocarbonpublishing.com © COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

REFINERY CO₂ MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

Technology Solutions to Reduce Carbon Footprint
and Meet Business Sustainability Goals

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
SECTION 2 EXECUTIVE BRIEFINGS	2-1
SECTION 3 ENVIRONMENTAL LEGISLATION.....	3-1
3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.1.1 Carbon Cap and Trade vs. Carbon Tax	3-2
3.2 Carbon Capture Policy and Programs	3-3
3.2.1 US.....	3-4
3.2.1.1 Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI).....	3-7
3.2.1.2 Western Climate Initiative	3-8
3.2.1.3 Midwestern Greenhouse Gas Reduction Accord	3-9
3.2.1.4 State Policies	3-9
3.2.2 Canada	3-10
3.2.2.1 Regional Strategy	3-12
3.2.3 Latin America and the Caribbean.....	3-13
3.2.4 Western Europe	3-14
3.2.4.1 Country Policies.....	3-16
3.2.4.2 Impact of EU ETS on the Refining Industry	3-18
3.2.5 Asia-Pacific	3-19
3.2.6 Africa.....	3-22
3.3 Conclusions.....	3-22
SECTION 4 CO ₂ EMISSIONS FROM REFINERIES: CARBON ACCOUNTING	4-1
4.1 Contributions of Refineries to National and Global CO ₂ Emissions	4-1
4.2 Inventorying Refinery CO ₂ Emissions.....	4-2
4.2.1 International Standards.....	4-4
4.2.1.1 The Greenhouse Gas Protocol	4-4
4.2.1.1.1 The Corporate Standard.....	4-4
4.2.1.1.2 The Project Accounting Protocol.....	4-10
4.2.1.2 ISO 14064.....	4-12
4.2.1.3 IPCC Guidelines for National Inventories.....	4-12
4.2.2 Guidelines from Industry Associations	4-13
4.2.2.1 American Petroleum Institute (API).....	4-13
4.2.2.1.1 The Compendium	4-14
4.2.2.1.1.1 Estimating CO ₂ Emissions from Stationary Combustion Sources.....	4-17
4.2.2.1.1.2 Estimating CO ₂ Emissions from Mobile Combustion Sources	4-18
4.2.2.1.1.3 Estimating CO ₂ Emissions from Indirect Combustion Sources	4-18
4.2.2.1.1.4 Estimating CO ₂ Emissions from Process Vents.....	4-19
4.2.2.1.2 SANGEA™	4-21
4.2.2.2 International Petroleum Industry Environmental Conservation Association (IPIECA)	4-22
4.2.2.2.1 Establishing Boundaries	4-23
4.2.2.2.2 Assuring Inventory Quality.....	4-23
4.2.3 Requirements from Government Agencies	4-24
4.2.3.1 United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).....	4-24
4.2.3.1.1 Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases Rule	4-24
4.2.3.1.2 Guidance on Emissions Factors	4-27
4.2.3.2 European Commission.....	4-28
4.2.3.2.1 Monitoring and Reporting Emissions under the EU Emissions Trading Directive	4-28

4.2.3.2.2	European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register	4-30
4.2.4	Uncertainty in Emissions Inventories	4-31
4.2.4.1	American Petroleum Institute	4-32
4.2.4.2	Environmental Protection Agency	4-34
4.2.5	Emissions Inventory Activities of Specific Refining Companies	4-38
4.2.5.1	BP	4-38
4.2.5.2	Chevron	4-39
4.2.5.3	ConocoPhillips	4-40
4.2.5.4	ExxonMobil	4-41
4.2.5.5	Murphy Oil Corp.	4-42
4.2.5.6	Neste Oil	4-42
4.2.5.7	Repsol YPF	4-42
4.2.5.8	Royal Dutch Shell	4-43
4.2.5.9	Sinopec	4-44
4.2.5.10	Statoil	4-44
4.2.5.11	Sunoco	4-44
4.2.5.12	Total	4-45
4.3	Breaking Down Refinery Emissions by Fuels, Feedstocks, and Products	4-45
4.3.1	Refinery Fuels	4-45
4.3.2	Crude Feeds	4-48
4.3.3	Product Slate	4-52
SECTION 5 TECHNOLOGY ADVANCES		5-1
5.1	Enhancing Energy Efficiency	5-1
5.1.1	Overall Impact of Enhanced Energy Efficiency on Refinery CO ₂ Emissions	5-1
5.1.1.1	Energy Management Strategies	5-1
5.1.1.1.1	Systems Approach: Supply-side vs. Demand-side	5-2
5.1.1.1.2	Inside-out Approach	5-4
5.1.1.1.3	Back-to-basics Approach	5-5
5.1.1.1.4	Analytical Approach	5-6
5.1.1.2	Translating Energy Efficiency Improvements into CO ₂ Emission Reductions	5-6
5.1.1.2.1	Utilities Systems	5-7
5.1.1.2.1.1	Heat	5-7
5.1.1.2.1.2	Steam	5-7
5.1.1.2.1.3	Power	5-8
5.1.1.2.1.4	Combined Heat and Power	5-8
5.1.1.2.2	Fuels for Stationary Combustion	5-9
5.1.2	Supply-side Energy Efficiency (Utilities Generation)	5-13
5.1.2.1	Introduction	5-13
5.1.2.2	Heat Generation	5-13
5.1.2.2.1	Existing Process Heaters	5-14
5.1.2.2.1.1	Preheating Combustion Air	5-15
5.1.2.2.1.2	Oxygen Enrichment	5-19
5.1.2.2.1.3	Advanced Control of Process Operations	5-20
5.1.2.2.1.3.1	Operating Variables	5-20
5.1.2.2.1.3.2	Control Devices	5-21
5.1.2.2.1.3.3	Software Tools	5-22
5.1.2.2.1.3.4	Monitoring Devices/Analyzers	5-24
5.1.2.2.1.3.5	Implementing Control	5-26
5.1.2.2.1.4	Cleaning Fouling and Scale	5-27
5.1.2.2.2	New Units and Revamp Projects	5-28
5.1.2.2.2.1	Fired Heater Selection	5-28

5.1.2.2.2	Hardware Considerations	5-28
5.1.2.2.3	Process Technology Developments	5-30
5.1.2.2.3	Case Studies from Industry	5-34
5.1.2.2.4	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-35
5.1.2.2.4.1	Process Heater Case I: Crude Heater	5-37
5.1.2.2.4.2	Process Heater Case II: Vacuum Heater	5-40
5.1.2.2.5	Conclusions	5-41
5.1.2.3	Steam Generation.....	5-43
5.1.2.3.1	Existing Steam Boilers	5-44
5.1.2.3.1.1	Waste Heat Recovery.....	5-44
5.1.2.3.1.2	Heat Recovery Steam Generation	5-45
5.1.2.3.1.3	Use of Back-pressure Turbines	5-45
5.1.2.3.1.4	Use of Energy Efficient Burners.....	5-45
5.1.2.3.1.5	Condensate Return.....	5-45
5.1.2.3.1.6	Advanced Control of Process Operations	5-46
5.1.2.3.1.6.1	Operating Variables	5-46
5.1.2.3.1.6.2	Control Devices.....	5-47
5.1.2.3.1.6.3	Software Tools.....	5-47
5.1.2.3.1.6.4	Monitoring Devices	5-48
5.1.2.3.1.6.5	Implementing Control.....	5-48
5.1.2.3.1.7	Cleaning Boiler Feedwater.....	5-50
5.1.2.3.1.8	Maintenance and Repairs	5-52
5.1.2.3.2	New Units and Revamp Projects	5-53
5.1.2.3.2.1	Optimized Equipment Size and Use	5-53
5.1.2.3.2.2	Hardware Considerations	5-53
5.1.2.3.2.3	Process Technology Developments	5-53
5.1.2.3.2.4	Emerging Technologies	5-57
5.1.2.3.3	Case Studies from Industry	5-59
5.1.2.3.4	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-60
5.1.2.3.5	Conclusions	5-61
5.1.2.4	Power Generation.....	5-63
5.1.2.4.1	Produced Power vs. Purchased Power	5-64
5.1.2.4.2	Existing Onsite Power Generation Plants	5-65
5.1.2.5	Power, Heat, and Steam Supply via Cogeneration.....	5-65
5.1.2.5.1	Thermal Efficiency of Cogeneration vs. Separate Steam Production	5-68
5.1.2.5.2	Regional Drivers for CHP.....	5-70
5.1.2.5.2.1	US.....	5-71
5.1.2.5.2.2	Europe	5-72
5.1.2.5.3	CHP Technology.....	5-72
5.1.2.5.3.1	Refinery CHP.....	5-73
5.1.2.5.3.1.1	Prime Mover Technologies	5-74
5.1.2.5.3.1.1.1	Steam Turbine.....	5-74
5.1.2.5.3.1.1.2	Simple Cycle Gas Turbine.....	5-76
5.1.2.5.3.1.1.2.1	Commercial Turbines.....	5-77
5.1.2.5.3.1.1.2.2	Fuel Flexibility.....	5-83
5.1.2.5.3.1.1.3	Combined-cycle	5-84
5.1.2.5.3.1.1.4	Reciprocating Engine	5-87
5.1.2.5.3.1.1.5	Microturbine	5-88
5.1.2.5.3.1.1.6	Fuel Cell.....	5-89
5.1.2.5.3.1.2	Heat Recovery Steam Generation	5-89
5.1.2.5.3.1.3	Supplementary Firing.....	5-91
5.1.2.5.3.1.4	Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC).....	5-91

5.1.2.5.3.1.4.1	IGCC Technology Considerations	5-93
5.1.2.5.3.1.4.1.1	Gas Turbine	5-93
5.1.2.5.3.1.4.1.2	Steam Turbine	5-94
5.1.2.5.3.1.4.1.3	Air Separation Unit	5-94
5.1.2.5.3.1.4.1.4	Gasification	5-95
5.1.2.5.3.1.4.1.5	Syngas Treating	5-95
5.1.2.5.3.1.4.2	IGCC Economics and Emissions Considerations	5-95
5.1.2.5.3.1.4.3	Polygeneration with IGCC	5-96
5.1.2.5.3.1.4.4	Emerging IGCC Technology	5-96
5.1.2.5.3.1.4.4.1	Advanced Turbine Developments	5-96
5.1.2.5.3.1.4.4.2	Transport Integration Gasification	5-98
5.1.2.5.3.1.4.4.3	Ion-transport Membrane Separation	5-98
5.1.2.5.3.1.4.4.4	H ₂ and CO ₂ Selective Membrane Reactors	5-98
5.1.2.5.3.2	Advanced Process Control	5-99
5.1.2.5.4	Refinery Cogeneration Experience	5-99
5.1.2.5.4.1	Fuel and Prime Mover Distribution	5-99
5.1.2.5.4.2	Company Experience	5-101
5.1.2.5.4.2.1	BP (US; Spain; UK; South Korea; Vietnam)	5-101
5.1.2.5.4.2.2	CEPSA (Spain)	5-101
5.1.2.5.4.2.3	Chevron (US)	5-102
5.1.2.5.4.2.4	ConocoPhillips (US; UK)	5-102
5.1.2.5.4.2.5	Cosmo Oil (Japan)	5-103
5.1.2.5.4.2.6	ERG (Italy)	5-103
5.1.2.5.4.2.7	ExxonMobil (US; Belgium; Singapore)	5-103
5.1.2.5.4.2.8	Galp (Portugal)	5-104
5.1.2.5.4.2.9	Hellenic (Greece)	5-104
5.1.2.5.4.2.10	Imperial Oil (Canada)	5-105
5.1.2.5.4.2.11	IRPC (Thailand)	5-105
5.1.2.5.4.2.12	Irving Oil (Canada)	5-105
5.1.2.5.4.2.13	MOL (Slovakia; Hungary)	5-105
5.1.2.5.4.2.14	Motiva (US)	5-105
5.1.2.5.4.2.15	Motor Oil Hellas (Greece)	5-106
5.1.2.5.4.2.16	Nippon Oil (Japan)	5-106
5.1.2.5.4.2.17	OMV (Austria; Romania; Germany)	5-106
5.1.2.5.4.2.18	Pemex (Mexico)	5-106
5.1.2.5.4.2.19	Petronas (Malaysia)	5-107
5.1.2.5.4.2.20	Petroperu (Peru)	5-107
5.1.2.5.4.2.21	Repsol YPF (Spain; Portugal)	5-107
5.1.2.5.4.2.22	Rosneft (Russia)	5-107
5.1.2.5.4.2.23	Saudi Aramco (Saudi Arabia)	5-107
5.1.2.5.4.2.24	Shell (The Netherlands)	5-108
5.1.2.5.4.2.25	Staatsolie Maatschappij (Suriname)	5-108
5.1.2.5.4.2.26	Statoil (Norway)	5-108
5.1.2.5.4.2.27	Tesoro (US)	5-108
5.1.2.5.4.2.28	Turkish Petroleum Refining (Turkey)	5-109
5.1.2.5.4.2.29	Total (Europe)	5-109
5.1.2.5.4.2.30	Valero Energy (US)	5-109

5.1.2.5.4.3	Summary of Cogeneration Experience (Selected Countries).....	5-109
5.1.2.5.4.3.1	United States	5-110
5.1.2.5.4.3.2	United Kingdom	5-113
5.1.2.5.4.4	Commercial-scale Refinery-related IGCC Experience	5-113
5.1.2.5.4.4.1	United States	5-114
5.1.2.5.4.4.1.1	ExxonMobil (Baytown, TX).....	5-114
5.1.2.5.4.4.1.2	Frontier (El Dorado, KS).....	5-114
5.1.2.5.4.4.1.3	Valero (Delaware City, DE)	5-114
5.1.2.5.4.4.2	Canada	5-114
5.1.2.5.4.4.2.1	Opti Nexen (Fort McMurray Alberta, Canada).....	5-114
5.1.2.5.4.4.3	Europe	5-114
5.1.2.5.4.4.3.1	Agip (Sannazzaro, Italy).....	5-114
5.1.2.5.4.4.3.2	API Energia (Falconara, Italy)	5-115
5.1.2.5.4.4.3.3	Elcogas (Puertollano, Spain).....	5-115
5.1.2.5.4.4.3.4	ISAB (Priolo, Italy).....	5-115
5.1.2.5.4.4.3.5	Repsol YPF (La Coruña, Spain; Bilbao, Spain)...	5-115
5.1.2.5.4.4.3.6	Sarlux (Sarroch, Italy)	5-116
5.1.2.5.4.4.3.7	Shell (Pernis, Rotterdam, Netherlands).....	5-116
5.1.2.5.4.4.3.8	Total (Gonfreville, France).....	5-117
5.1.2.5.4.4.4	Asia.....	5-117
5.1.2.5.4.4.4.1	FPCL (Fujian Province, China).....	5-117
5.1.2.5.4.4.4.2	GSK (Nihon, Japan)	5-117
5.1.2.5.4.4.4.3	IOC (Nagipattinam, India).....	5-117
5.1.2.5.4.4.4.4	Nippon Oil (Negishi, Japan)	5-117
5.1.2.5.4.4.5	Recent and Future Refinery IGCC Projects	5-118
5.1.2.5.4.4.5.1	BP (Carson, CA, US)	5-118
5.1.2.5.4.4.5.2	BHEL (Bhatinda, Punjab, India)	5-118
5.1.2.5.4.4.5.3	Hunton (Fort Bend, TX, US).....	5-118
5.1.2.5.4.4.5.4	Lotos (Gdansk, Poland)	5-118
5.1.2.5.4.4.5.5	Tondu (Corpus Christi, TX, US)	5-118
5.1.2.5.4.5	Summary of Gasification Units with Refinery-related Feedstock	5-119
5.1.2.5.4.6	Case Studies from Industry	5-121
5.1.2.5.4.6.1	Combined-Cycle	5-121
5.1.2.5.4.6.2	IGCC.....	5-121
5.1.2.5.4.6.3	Polygeneration with IGCC.....	5-124
5.1.2.5.4.7	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies.....	5-125
5.1.2.5.4.7.1	Hypothetical 100K-b/d Refinery	5-125
5.1.2.5.4.7.2	Base Case (Separated Generation).....	5-126
5.1.2.5.4.7.3	Combined Heat and Power Generation	5-131
5.1.2.5.4.7.3.1	Boiler with Steam Turbine	5-136
5.1.2.5.4.7.3.2	Simple Cycle Gas Turbines.....	5-140
5.1.2.5.4.7.3.3	Natural Gas-fed Systems	5-147
5.1.2.5.4.7.3.4	Combined-cycle and IGCC.....	5-150
5.1.2.5.4.8	Conclusions	5-150
5.1.3	Demand-side Energy Efficiency (Utilities Distribution, Management, Transfer, and Use)	5-155
5.1.3.1	Heat Distribution and Management.....	5-155
5.1.3.1.1	Introduction.....	5-155
5.1.3.1.2	Heat Exchanger Efficiency.....	5-156
5.1.3.1.2.1	Introduction.....	5-156
5.1.3.1.2.2	Existing Heat Exchangers.....	5-158

5.1.3.1.2.3	New Units and Revamp Projects.....	5-164
5.1.3.1.2.4	Commercial Software.....	5-168
5.1.3.1.2.5	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-169
5.1.3.1.2.6	Conclusions.....	5-171
5.1.3.1.3	Improving Heat Exchanger Network Efficiency	5-172
5.1.3.1.3.1	Introduction	5-172
5.1.3.1.3.2	Pinch Analysis.....	5-173
5.1.3.1.3.3	Mathematical Programming and Optimization Methods	5-179
5.1.3.1.3.4	Commercial Software.....	5-181
5.1.3.1.3.5	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-182
5.1.3.1.3.6	Conclusions.....	5-183
5.1.3.1.4	Waste Heat Recovery	5-184
5.1.3.1.4.1	Introduction	5-184
5.1.3.1.4.2	Uses for Waste Heat.....	5-184
5.1.3.1.4.3	Flare Gas Recovery	5-186
5.1.3.1.4.4	Boiler Blowdown Heat Recovery	5-187
5.1.3.1.4.5	Heat Pumps	5-189
5.1.3.1.4.6	Low Grade Heat Recovery	5-190
5.1.3.1.4.7	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-193
5.1.3.1.4.8	Conclusions.....	5-197
5.1.3.2	Steam Distribution and Management	5-198
5.1.3.2.1	Introduction	5-198
5.1.3.2.2	Insulation.....	5-199
5.1.3.2.3	Repair Pipe Leaks.....	5-201
5.1.3.2.4	Steam Traps.....	5-201
5.1.3.2.5	Condensate Recovery Systems	5-204
5.1.3.2.6	Steam Tracers.....	5-205
5.1.3.2.7	Minimize Wasted Steam	5-205
5.1.3.2.8	Steam Integration.....	5-207
5.1.3.2.9	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-207
5.1.3.2.10	Conclusions	5-212
5.1.3.3	Electricity Distribution and Management	5-213
5.1.3.3.1	Introduction	5-213
5.1.3.3.2	Motor Systems	5-213
5.1.3.3.2.1	Motors	5-213
5.1.3.3.2.2	Pumps.....	5-215
5.1.3.3.2.3	Compressors.....	5-219
5.1.3.3.2.4	Fans.....	5-222
5.1.3.3.3	Lighting	5-223
5.1.3.3.4	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-224
5.1.3.3.5	Conclusions.....	5-229
5.1.4	Strategic Application of Energy Efficiency Improvements in Refining Processes	5-230
5.1.4.1	Crude Distillation	5-233
5.1.4.1.1	Introduction	5-233
5.1.4.1.2	Means of Improving CDU Energy Efficiency and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions.....	5-235
5.1.4.1.2.1	Preheat Train	5-235
5.1.4.1.2.1.1	Optimizing Heat Integration.....	5-236
5.1.4.1.2.1.2	Hydraulic Problems in the Preheat Train.....	5-237
5.1.4.1.2.1.3	Methods to Reduce Fouling and Corrosion	5-237
5.1.4.1.2.2	Maintenance and Process Operations	5-238
5.1.4.1.2.3	Internals, Hardware, and Modifications	5-239
5.1.4.1.2.4	Alternative Approaches to Conventional Crude Distillation	5-242

	5.1.4.1.2.5	Advanced Process Control, Monitoring, and Simulation	5-246
	5.1.4.1.3	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-247
	5.1.4.1.4	Conclusions	5-250
5.1.4.2		Fluid Catalytic Cracking	5-252
	5.1.4.2.1	Introduction	5-252
	5.1.4.2.2	Means of Improving FCC Energy Efficiency and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions.....	5-253
	5.1.4.2.2.1	Power Recovery	5-254
		5.1.4.2.2.1.1 Power Recovery from FCCU Flue Gas	5-254
		5.1.4.2.2.1.2 Power Recovery from FCCU Product Streams	5-258
	5.1.4.2.2.2	Heat Integration	5-260
		5.1.4.2.2.2.1 Regenerator Flue Gas Stream	5-260
		5.1.4.2.2.2.2 Product Fractionation	5-261
		5.1.4.2.2.2.3 Catalyst Cooling	5-264
	5.1.4.2.2.3	Improved Process Heating.....	5-265
	5.1.4.2.2.4	Advanced Process Control (APC), Monitoring, Modeling, and Simulation ..	5-265
		5.1.4.2.2.4.1 Process Monitoring and Control.....	5-266
		5.1.4.2.2.4.2 Process Models and Simulations	5-269
	5.1.4.2.3	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-270
	5.1.4.2.4	Conclusions	5-274
5.1.4.3		Hydrotreating	5-276
	5.1.4.3.1	Introduction	5-276
	5.1.4.3.2	Means of Improving Hydrotreating Energy and Hydrogen Efficiencies and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions	5-277
	5.1.4.3.2.1	Heat Recovery/Integration	5-277
	5.1.4.3.2.2	Processing Alternatives	5-278
		5.1.4.3.2.2.1 Advanced Catalysts	5-278
		5.1.4.3.2.2.2 Modified Process Flow Schemes	5-279
		5.1.4.3.2.2.3 Catalytic Distillation.....	5-280
		5.1.4.3.2.2.4 Hydrogen-saturated Feed	5-281
		5.1.4.3.2.2.5 Fluidized-bed Hydrotreating	5-282
	5.1.4.3.2.3	Hydrogen Management	5-282
		5.1.4.3.2.3.1 Increase Hydrogen Partial Pressure	5-282
		5.1.4.3.2.3.2 Determine Appropriate Level of Hydrogen Consumption for the Feed Being Used	5-283
		5.1.4.3.2.3.3 Recover Hydrogen from Hydrotreater Offgas	5-283
		5.1.4.3.2.3.4 Measure Hydrogen Use	5-284
	5.1.4.3.2.4	Advanced Process Control (APC), Monitoring, Modeling, and Simulation ..	5-284
		5.1.4.3.2.4.1 Process Monitoring and Control.....	5-284
		5.1.4.3.2.4.2 Process Models and Simulations	5-286
	5.1.4.3.3	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-286
	5.1.4.3.4	Conclusions	5-290
5.1.4.4		Hydrocracking	5-291
	5.1.4.4.1	Introduction	5-291
	5.1.4.4.2	Means of Improving Hydrocracking Energy Efficiency and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions	5-291
	5.1.4.4.2.1	Power Recovery	5-292
	5.1.4.4.2.2	Heat Recovery/Integration	5-292
	5.1.4.4.2.3	Processing Alternatives	5-292
		5.1.4.4.2.3.1 Advanced Catalysts and Reactor Internals	5-292
		5.1.4.4.2.3.2 Modified Process Flow Schemes	5-293
		5.1.4.4.2.3.3 Hydrogen-saturated Feed	5-296
	5.1.4.4.2.4	Hydrogen Management	5-297
	5.1.4.4.2.5	Advanced Process Control (APC), Monitoring, Modeling, and Simulation ..	5-298

	5.1.4.4.2.5.1	Process Monitoring and Control	5-298
	5.1.4.4.2.5.2	Process Models and Simulations	5-298
	5.1.4.4.3	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-298
	5.1.4.4.4	Conclusions.....	5-301
5.1.4.5		Catalytic Reforming	5-303
	5.1.4.5.1	Introduction	5-303
	5.1.4.5.2	Means of Improving Catalytic Reforming Energy Efficiency and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions	5-304
	5.1.4.5.2.1	Process Operation	5-305
	5.1.4.5.2.1.1	Process Severity	5-305
	5.1.4.5.2.1.2	Hydrogen Recycle Compressor.....	5-305
	5.1.4.5.2.1.3	Intermediate Product Recovery	5-305
	5.1.4.5.2.2	Waste Heat Recovery	5-306
	5.1.4.5.2.3	Heater Performance	5-307
	5.1.4.5.2.4	Heat Exchange.....	5-308
	5.1.4.5.2.4.1	Welded Plate Heat Exchangers (WPHEs).....	5-309
	5.1.4.5.2.4.2	Twisted Tube Heat Exchangers	5-309
	5.1.4.5.2.4.3	Rod Baffle Heat Exchangers	5-309
	5.1.4.5.2.5	Catalyst Selection	5-309
	5.1.4.5.2.6	Advanced Process Control.....	5-310
	5.1.4.5.3	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-310
	5.1.4.5.4	Conclusions.....	5-313
5.1.4.6		Alkylation	5-314
	5.1.4.6.1	Introduction	5-314
	5.1.4.6.2	Means of Improving Alkylation Energy Efficiency and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions	5-315
	5.1.4.6.2.1	Conventional H ₂ SO ₄ Alkylation Processes	5-315
	5.1.4.6.2.2	Conventional HF Alkylation Processes.....	5-318
	5.1.4.6.2.3	Modifications to Conventional Alkylation Technology.....	5-319
	5.1.4.6.2.3.1	CDTECH's <i>CDAlky</i> Process	5-319
	5.1.4.6.2.3.2	RHT Sulfuric Acid Alkylation.....	5-320
	5.1.4.6.2.3.3	VHP's Improved Alkylation Contactor.....	5-321
	5.1.4.6.2.4	Process Control and Optimization.....	5-321
	5.1.4.6.2.5	Alternative Technologies (Solid Acid or Ionic Liquid).....	5-322
	5.1.4.6.3	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-323
	5.1.4.6.4	Conclusions.....	5-329
5.1.4.7		Hydrogen.....	5-331
	5.1.4.7.1	Introduction	5-331
	5.1.4.7.2	Hydrogen Production	5-332
	5.1.4.7.2.1	Steam Reforming	5-333
	5.1.4.7.2.1.1	Effect of Reformer Feedstock Choice on CO ₂ Emissions.....	5-334
	5.1.4.7.2.1.2	Advanced Catalysts.....	5-335
	5.1.4.7.2.1.3	Operational Improvements	5-337
	5.1.4.7.2.1.4	Replacement vs. Revamp	5-339
	5.1.4.7.2.1.5	Pre-reformers	5-340
	5.1.4.7.2.1.6	Post-reformers	5-341
	5.1.4.7.2.1.7	Tri-generation: Hydrogen, Steam, and Power	5-342
	5.1.4.7.2.2	Alternatives to Steam Reforming.....	5-343
	5.1.4.7.2.2.1	Partial Oxidation (Gasification) for H ₂ and Syngas Production	5-343
	5.1.4.7.2.2.2	Autothermal Reforming	5-343
	5.1.4.7.2.2.3	Gas Heated Reformer	5-344
	5.1.4.7.3	Hydrogen Purification	5-346

5.1.4.7.3.1	Pressure Swing Adsorption.....	5-347
5.1.4.7.3.2	Membrane Separation	5-348
5.1.4.7.3.3	Cryogenic Separation	5-348
5.1.4.7.4	Hydrogen Recovery	5-349
5.1.4.7.5	Hydrogen Management	5-353
5.1.4.7.5.1	Hydrogen Pinch and Mathematical Modeling.....	5-354
5.1.4.7.5.2	Reducing Hydrogen Demand.....	5-356
5.1.4.7.6	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-357
5.1.4.7.7	Conclusions	5-361
5.2	Renewable Sources of Energy Used in Refineries	5-363
5.2.1	Introduction	5-363
5.2.2	Incentives	5-365
5.2.3	Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs)	5-367
5.2.4	Technology Developments and Applications.....	5-368
5.2.4.1	Wind.....	5-368
5.2.4.1.1	Refinery Commercial Experience	5-371
5.2.4.1.2	Turbine Technology	5-375
5.2.4.1.2.1	Rotor.....	5-377
5.2.4.1.2.2	Blades.....	5-378
5.2.4.1.2.3	Controls	5-379
5.2.4.1.2.4	Drivetrain	5-379
5.2.4.1.2.5	Tower/Balance of System.....	5-380
5.2.4.1.3	Offshore Wind Generation	5-381
5.2.4.1.4	Relating Wind Energy to Reducing CO ₂ Emissions	5-384
5.2.4.1.5	Economics	5-386
5.2.4.1.6	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-387
5.2.4.1.6.1	Case I: Base Case.....	5-388
5.2.4.1.6.2	Case II: 10% Interest Rate.....	5-390
5.2.4.1.6.3	Case III: Technological Innovations and Lower Cost	5-392
5.2.4.1.6.4	Case IV: Offshore Wind Farm.....	5-393
5.2.4.1.7	Conclusions	5-395
5.2.4.2	Solar	5-396
5.2.4.2.1	Refinery Commercial Experience	5-398
5.2.4.2.2	Photovoltaic Solar Power.....	5-398
5.2.4.2.2.1	Flat-plate PV Technology	5-400
5.2.4.2.2.1.1	Crystalline Silicon.....	5-400
5.2.4.2.2.1.2	Thin-film	5-401
5.2.4.2.2.2	Concentrator PV Technology.....	5-401
5.2.4.2.2.3	Dye-sensitized Solar Cells.....	5-402
5.2.4.2.2.4	Organic and Nanotechnology Solar Cells	5-402
5.2.4.2.3	Concentrating Solar Power.....	5-403
5.2.4.2.3.1	Parabolic Trough	5-404
5.2.4.2.3.2	Power Towers.....	5-405
5.2.4.2.3.3	Dish-engine Technology	5-405
5.2.4.2.4	Economics	5-406
5.2.4.2.4.1	Photovoltaic Solar Power.....	5-406
5.2.4.2.4.2	Concentrating Solar Power.....	5-407
5.2.4.2.5	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies	5-407
5.2.4.2.5.1	Case I: Base Case.....	5-408
5.2.4.2.5.2	Case II: 10% Interest Rate.....	5-411
5.2.4.2.5.3	Case III: Future Costs.....	5-412
5.2.4.2.5.4	Case IV: Parabolic Trough CSP	5-414

5.2.4.2.6	Conclusions.....	5-415
5.2.4.3	Others	5-417
5.2.4.3.1	Biomass-based Sources	5-417
5.2.4.3.2	Geothermal	5-419
5.2.4.3.3	Hydrokinetic (Tidal/Wave).....	5-422
5.2.5	Conclusions	5-423
5.3	Carbon Capture	5-425
5.3.1	Introduction.....	5-425
5.3.2	Status of Carbon Capture Technologies.....	5-427
5.3.2.1	Pre-combustion	5-428
5.3.2.1.1	Steam Reforming	5-428
5.3.2.1.2	Partial Oxidation.....	5-433
5.3.2.1.3	Autothermal Reforming	5-434
5.3.2.1.4	Gasification	5-435
5.3.2.1.5	CO ₂ Recovery Methods.....	5-438
5.3.2.2	Oxycombustion.....	5-442
5.3.2.2.1	ASU-based Technology	5-442
5.3.2.2.2	Chemical Looping Combustion	5-446
5.3.2.3	Post-combustion.....	5-449
5.3.2.3.1	Absorption.....	5-449
5.3.2.3.2	Adsorption.....	5-455
5.3.2.3.3	Membrane Separation.....	5-456
5.3.2.4	Summary of Carbon Capture Technologies	5-458
5.3.3	Strategic Capture Areas	5-463
5.3.3.1	Hydrogen Plant.....	5-464
5.3.3.2	Gasification Unit.....	5-466
5.3.3.3	Power/steam Plant	5-467
5.3.3.4	FCC Unit	5-470
5.3.3.5	Process Heaters.....	5-473
5.3.3.6	Fuel Gas System.....	5-475
5.3.3.7	Coker.....	5-476
5.3.4	Summary, Analysis, and Case Studies.....	5-478
5.3.5	Conclusions	5-488
SECTION 6 COMPANY STRATEGIES IN CARBON MANAGEMENT AND SURVEY RESULTS		6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Publicly Disclosed Company Policies.....	6-1
6.2.1	United States	6-2
6.2.1.1	Chevron.....	6-2
6.2.1.1.1	Energy Efficiency	6-3
6.2.1.1.2	Renewable Energy.....	6-3
6.2.1.1.3	Carbon Capture and Sequestration.....	6-4
6.2.1.2	ConocoPhillips.....	6-5
6.2.1.2.1	Energy Efficiency	6-6
6.2.1.2.2	Renewable Energy.....	6-7
6.2.1.2.3	Carbon Capture and Sequestration.....	6-7
6.2.1.3	ExxonMobil.....	6-7
6.2.1.3.1	Energy Efficiency	6-9
6.2.1.3.2	Renewable Energy.....	6-9
6.2.1.3.3	Carbon Capture and Sequestration.....	6-10
6.2.1.4	Flint Hill Resources	6-10
6.2.1.5	Frontier Oil	6-11

6.2.1.6	Hess Corp.....	6-11
6.2.1.7	Holly Corp.....	6-11
6.2.1.8	Marathon Petroleum	6-11
	6.2.1.8.1 Energy Efficiency	6-12
	6.2.1.8.2 Renewable Energy	6-12
	6.2.1.8.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-12
6.2.1.9	Murphy Oil	6-13
6.2.1.10	Sunoco.....	6-13
	6.2.1.10.1 Energy Efficiency	6-14
6.2.1.11	Valero	6-14
	6.2.1.11.1 Energy Efficiency	6-15
	6.2.1.11.2 Renewable Energy	6-15
	6.2.1.11.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-15
6.2.2	Canada	6-16
6.2.2.1	Imperial Oil.....	6-16
	6.2.2.1.1 Energy Efficiency	6-17
	6.2.2.1.2 Renewable Energy	6-17
	6.2.2.1.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-17
6.2.2.2	Irving Oil.....	6-18
	6.2.2.2.1 Energy Efficiency	6-18
	6.2.2.2.2 Renewable Energy	6-18
	6.2.2.2.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-18
6.2.2.3	Husky Energy	6-19
	6.2.2.3.1 Energy Efficiency	6-19
	6.2.2.3.2 Renewable Energy	6-19
	6.2.2.3.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-19
6.2.2.4	Suncor Energy	6-20
	6.2.2.4.1 Energy Efficiency	6-21
	6.2.2.4.2 Renewable Energy	6-22
	6.2.2.4.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-23
6.2.3	Latin America and the Caribbean.....	6-23
6.2.3.1	Ecopetrol.....	6-23
6.2.3.2	ENAP.....	6-24
	6.2.3.2.1 Energy Efficiency	6-24
	6.2.3.2.2 Renewable Energy	6-24
	6.2.3.2.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-24
6.2.3.3	Pemex.....	6-25
	6.2.3.3.1 Energy Efficiency	6-26
	6.2.3.3.2 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-26
6.2.3.4	Petrobras	6-26
	6.2.3.4.1 Energy Efficiency	6-27
	6.2.3.4.2 Renewable Energy	6-28
	6.2.3.4.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-28
6.2.3.5	Petroperu.....	6-29
6.2.4	Europe	6-30
6.2.4.1	BP.....	6-30
	6.2.4.1.1 Energy Efficiency	6-31
	6.2.4.1.2 Renewable Energy	6-31
	6.2.4.1.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-33
6.2.4.2	CEPSA.....	6-34
	6.2.4.2.1 Energy Efficiency	6-35
	6.2.4.2.2 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-36

6.2.4.3	Czech Refining	6-36
6.2.4.4	Eni	6-36
	6.2.4.4.1 Energy Efficiency	6-38
	6.2.4.4.2 Renewable Energy	6-39
	6.2.4.4.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-39
6.2.4.5	ERG	6-39
	6.2.4.5.1 Energy Efficiency	6-40
	6.2.4.5.2 Renewable Energy	6-40
	6.2.4.5.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-41
6.2.4.6	Galp Energia	6-42
	6.2.4.6.1 Energy Efficiency	6-42
	6.2.4.6.2 Renewable Energy	6-43
6.2.4.7	Hellenic Petroleum	6-43
	6.2.4.7.1 Energy Efficiency	6-43
	6.2.4.7.2 Renewable Energy	6-44
6.2.4.8	LOTOS	6-44
6.2.4.9	LyondellBasell	6-44
6.2.4.10	MOL	6-44
	6.2.4.10.1 Energy Efficiency	6-45
	6.2.4.10.2 Renewable Energy	6-45
	6.2.4.10.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-46
6.2.4.11	Motor Oil Hellas	6-46
	6.2.4.11.1 Energy Efficiency	6-47
6.2.4.12	Neste Oil	6-47
6.2.4.13	OMV	6-48
	6.2.4.13.1 Energy Efficiency	6-48
	6.2.4.13.2 Renewable Energy	6-50
	6.2.4.13.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-51
6.2.4.14	Petronor	6-52
6.2.4.15	Repsol YPF	6-52
	6.2.4.15.1 Energy Efficiency	6-53
	6.2.4.15.2 Renewable Energy	6-54
	6.2.4.15.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-54
6.2.4.16	Rosneft	6-55
	6.2.4.16.1 Energy Efficiency	6-55
	6.2.4.16.2 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-56
6.2.4.17	Royal Dutch Shell	6-56
	6.2.4.17.1 Energy Efficiency	6-58
	6.2.4.17.2 Renewable Energy	6-59
	6.2.4.17.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-60
6.2.4.18	SARAS	6-61
	6.2.4.18.1 Energy Efficiency	6-61
	6.2.4.18.2 Renewable Energy	6-62
	6.2.4.18.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-62
6.2.4.19	Statoil	6-63
	6.2.4.19.1 Energy Efficiency	6-63
	6.2.4.19.2 Renewable Energy	6-63
	6.2.4.19.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-65
6.2.4.20	Total	6-66
	6.2.4.20.1 Energy Efficiency	6-66
	6.2.4.20.2 Renewable Energy	6-67
	6.2.4.20.3 Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-68

6.2.5	Asia	6-69
6.2.5.1	Attock Refinery	6-69
6.2.5.2	Caltex Australia.....	6-69
6.2.5.2.1	Energy Efficiency	6-70
6.2.5.3	Cosmo Oil.....	6-70
6.2.5.3.1	Energy Efficiency	6-71
6.2.5.3.2	Renewable Energy	6-71
6.2.5.3.3	Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-71
6.2.5.4	CPC	6-72
6.2.5.5	Hindustan Petroleum Corp.....	6-72
6.2.5.5.1	Energy Efficiency	6-72
6.2.5.5.2	Renewable Energy	6-73
6.2.5.6	Idemitsu Kosan	6-73
6.2.5.6.1	Energy Efficiency	6-73
6.2.5.6.2	Renewable Energy	6-74
6.2.5.7	Indian Oil Corp.....	6-74
6.2.5.7.1	Energy Efficiency	6-74
6.2.5.7.2	Renewables	6-75
6.2.5.8	Japan Energy.....	6-75
6.2.5.9	New Zealand Refining.....	6-76
6.2.5.10	Nippon Oil.....	6-76
6.2.5.10.1	Energy Efficiency	6-76
6.2.5.10.2	Renewable Energy	6-76
6.2.5.10.3	Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-77
6.2.5.11	PetroChina.....	6-77
6.2.5.11.1	Energy Efficiency	6-77
6.2.5.11.2	Renewable Energy	6-77
6.2.5.11.3	Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-77
6.2.5.12	Petronas	6-78
6.2.5.12.1	Energy Efficiency	6-78
6.2.5.12.2	Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-78
6.2.5.13	Sinopec.....	6-78
6.2.5.13.1	Energy Efficiency	6-79
6.2.5.13.2	Renewable Energy	6-79
6.2.5.14	SK Energy.....	6-79
6.2.5.14.1	Energy Efficiency	6-80
6.2.5.14.2	Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-81
6.2.6	Africa	6-81
6.2.6.1	Engen Petroleum.....	6-81
6.2.6.1.1	Energy Efficiency	6-82
6.2.6.2	Sasol.....	6-82
6.2.6.2.1	Energy Efficiency	6-82
6.2.6.2.2	Renewable Energy	6-83
6.2.6.2.3	Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-83
6.2.7	Middle East	6-83
6.2.7.1	Abu Dhabi National Oil (ADNOC) (Tahreer).....	6-83
6.2.7.1.1	Energy Efficiency	6-83
6.2.7.1.2	Renewable Energy	6-84
6.2.7.1.3	Carbon Capture and Sequestration	6-84
6.2.7.2	Saudi Aramco	6-85
6.2.7.2.1	Energy Efficiency	6-85
6.2.7.2.2	Renewable Energy	6-85

6.2.7.2.3	Carbon Capture and Sequestration.....	6-85
6.2.7.3	Tupras.....	6-85
6.2.7.3.1	Energy Efficiency.....	6-86
6.2.7.3.2	Renewable Energy.....	6-87
6.2.7.3.3	Carbon Capture and Sequestration.....	6-87
6.2.8	Conclusions.....	6-87
6.3	Direct Survey Results.....	6-89
6.3.1	Introduction.....	6-89
6.3.1.1	Regional Responses.....	6-91
6.3.1.1.1	Global.....	6-91
6.3.1.1.2	North America.....	6-100
6.3.1.1.3	Europe.....	6-107
6.3.1.2	Type of Company Responses.....	6-114
6.3.1.2.1	Independent.....	6-114
6.3.1.2.2	Integrated.....	6-121
6.3.1.2.3	National.....	6-128
6.4	Low-carbon Fuels.....	6-135
6.5	Conclusions.....	6-138
SECTION 7 STRATEGIC ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....		7-1
7.1	Introduction.....	7-1
7.2	Analyses and Recommendations of Refinery CO ₂ Management Strategies.....	7-3
7.2.1	Decrease in Carbon Intensity.....	7-3
7.2.1.1	Conventional Crude Types.....	7-5
7.2.1.2	Biofeeds.....	7-6
7.2.1.3	Combustion Fuels.....	7-7
7.2.1.4	Product Slate.....	7-8
7.2.1.5	Recommendations.....	7-10
7.2.2	Lower Energy Intensity.....	7-11
7.2.2.1	Improvements in Energy Efficiency of Utility Supply Systems.....	7-12
7.2.2.1.1	Separate Generation of Heat and Steam.....	7-12
7.2.2.1.2	Combined Heat and Power Generation.....	7-14
7.2.2.1.3	Recommendations.....	7-18
7.2.2.2	Increasing Use of Renewable Power.....	7-21
7.2.2.2.1	Wind Power.....	7-23
7.2.2.2.2	Solar Power.....	7-23
7.2.2.2.3	Recommendations.....	7-25
7.2.2.3	Reduction in Energy Demand.....	7-28
7.2.2.3.1	Utilities Distribution and Management.....	7-28
7.2.2.3.1.1	Heat Distribution and Management.....	7-29
7.2.2.3.1.1.1	Improving Efficiency of Heat Exchangers and Heat Exchanges Networks.....	7-29
7.2.2.3.1.1.2	Waste Heat Recovery.....	7-32
7.2.2.3.1.2	Steam Distribution and Management.....	7-34
7.2.2.3.1.3	Electricity Distribution and Management.....	7-35
7.2.2.3.1.4	Recommendations.....	7-37
7.2.2.3.2	Strategic Application of Energy Efficiency Improvements in Refining Processes.....	7-38
7.2.2.3.2.1	Crude Distillation.....	7-40
7.2.2.3.2.2	Hydrotreating.....	7-42
7.2.2.3.2.3	Hydrocracking.....	7-44
7.2.2.3.2.4	Catalytic Reforming.....	7-46
7.2.2.3.2.5	Alkylation.....	7-48

7.2.2.3.2.6	Hydrogen Production	7-51
7.2.2.3.2.7	Recommendations.....	7-53
7.2.3	Capture and Sequester Carbon	7-57
7.2.3.1	Capturability	7-58
7.2.3.2	Recommendations.....	7-59
7.2.4	Current Company Carbon Strategies	7-61
7.3	Scenario Study of Global Refiner Strategies in the Next Decade	7-67
7.4	Overall Recommendations	7-72
SECTION 8 REFERENCES		8-1
SECTION 9 INDEX		9-1
9.1	Company and Institution Index	9-1
9.2	Trade/Brand Name Index	9-6

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
2.2.1-1	Contributions by Country to Global Energy-related CO ₂ Emissions in 2006	2-5
2.2.1-2	Sources for CO ₂ Emissions from Refining Operations (API Compendium)	2-8
2.2.1-3	EPA Mandatory GHG Reporting Rule	2-10
2.2.1-4	Heating Values and CO ₂ Emissions Factors for Refinery Fuels	2-13
2.3.1-1	Summary of Process Heater Improvement Options	2-17
2.3.1-2	Summary of Steam Generation Improvement Options	2-20
2.3.1-3	Summary of Refinery Utility Supply Scenarios	2-26
2.3.1-4	Comparison of Heat Exchanger Retrofit Options	2-31
2.3.1-5	Comparison of New Heat Exchanger Options	2-32
2.3.1-6	Sources of Waste Heat	2-36
2.3.1-7	Waste Heat Recovery Case Study Data	2-37
2.3.1-8	Economics of Waste Heat Recovery Case Study	2-37
2.3.1-9	Options for Improving Steam Distribution	2-39
2.3.1-10	Steam System Case Study for 100K-b/d Refinery	2-40
2.3.1-11	Environmental Impact of Motor Efficiency	2-41
2.3.1-12	Motor System Improvement Options	2-42
2.3.1-13	Energy Consumed in 2001 by US Refining Processes	2-43
2.3.1-14	Energy Improvements and CO ₂ Reductions that are Possible for Refineries to Achieve	2-44
2.3.1-15	Energy Consumed for Crude Distillation by US Refineries in 2005	2-45
2.3.1-16	Case Study Economics for 100K-b/d CDU	2-48
2.3.1-17	Energy Consumed for FCC by US Refineries in 2005	2-50
2.3.1-18	Case Study Data for 50K-b/d FCCU	2-53
2.3.1-19	Energy Consumed for Hydrotreating by US Refineries in 2005	2-54
2.3.1-20	Case Study Data for 35K-b/d Hydrotreater	2-58
2.3.1-21	Energy Consumed for Hydrocracking by US Refineries in 2005	2-59
2.3.1-22	Case Study Data for 30K-b/d Hydrocracker	2-61
2.3.1-23	Catalytic Reforming Utility Consumption Based on Regeneration Method	2-62
2.3.1-24	Energy Consumed for Catalytic Reforming by US Refineries in 2005	2-62
2.3.1-25	Case Study Data for 30K-b/d Cat Reformer	2-65
2.3.1-26	Comparison of Estimated Energy Consumption in H ₂ SO ₄ and HF Alkylation Processes	2-66
2.3.1-27	Case Study Data for a 10K-b/d Alkylation Unit	2-70
2.3.1-28	Economics of H ₂ Plant Improvement Measures for 50K Nm ³ /h H ₂ Plant	2-74
2.3.2-1	Carbon Breakeven Price for Wind Farms, Cases I-III	2-77
2.3.2-2	Carbon Breakeven Price for PV Solar, Cases I-III	2-79
2.3.3-1	Ranking of Refinery Units According to Capturability	2-83
2.3.3-2	Refinery Capture Areas in Order of Increasing Cost	2-84
2.4.1-1	Company Policies Summary	2-86
3.2.1-1	Comparison of US Climate Change Bills	3-7
3.2.1-2	CO ₂ Allowance Auction	3-8
3.2.1-3	Top CO ₂ Emitters in 2007 by State, US	3-10
4.2.1-1	Contributions by Country to Global Energy-related CO ₂ Emissions in 2006	4-1
4.2.1-2	CO ₂ Emissions and Carbon Intensities for US Industrial Sector Groups in 2002	4-2

Table	Title	Page
4.2.1-3	Various Users of the Corporate Standard	4-5
4.2.1-4	General Principles for an Emissions Inventory	4-5
4.2.1-5	Emission Sources for the Oil and Gas Industry According to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard	4-7
4.2.1-6	Emissions Inventory Information Required or Suggested by the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard	4-9
4.2.2-1	Sources for CO ₂ Emissions from Refining Operations (API Compendium)	4-15
4.2.2-2	Inventory for CO ₂ Emissions from a Hypothetical Refinery (API Compendium)	4-16
4.2.2-3	Quality Management Activities for Preparing an Emissions Inventory	4-24
4.2.3-1	EPA Mandatory GHG Reporting Rule	4-25
4.2.3-2	EPA Ratings Levels for Emissions Factors	4-28
4.2.3-3	Fall-back Uncertainty Limits for Monitoring Emissions Under the EU ETS Directive	4-29
4.2.4-1	Uncertainties in Three Ways of Estimating CO ₂ Emissions from Fuel Gas Combustion	4-34
4.2.4-2	Emissions Factor Uncertainty Ratio Based on Population Percentile	4-36
4.2.4-3	Emissions Factor Uncertainty Ratio Based on Normalized Sampling Distributions of Means	4-36
4.2.4-4	Uncertainties in Carbon Content Coefficients for Refinery Fuels	4-37
4.2.5-1	Annual GHG Intensity for BP Refineries	4-39
4.2.5-2	Breakdown of ConocoPhillips Refinery GHG Emissions in 2008	4-41
4.2.5-3	Feedstock Weights and CO ₂ Emissions from Statoil Refineries in 2008	4-44
4.2.5-4	Refinery GHG Emissions for Sunoco, 1990, 2006-2008	4-45
4.3.1-1	Fuels Typically Used in Refineries in Different Regions (Percent of Total)	4-46
4.3.1-2	Heating Values and CO ₂ Emissions Factors for Refinery Fuels	4-46
4.3.1-3	CO ₂ Emissions from Fuels Consumed by US Refiners, 2006-2008	4-47
4.3.1-4	Breakdown by PADD of Fuels Used in US Refineries in 2008	4-48
4.3.2-1	Sulfur and API Values for Crudes Imported to US Refineries	4-50
4.3.2-2	Refinery Emissions Attributed to Sulfur Removal and Heavy Oil Conversion Processes	4-51
4.3.2-3	Impact of the Carbon Cost to Producers and Refiners for Various Crudes on Diesel Price	4-51
4.3.2-4	Sensitivity of Refinery CO ₂ Emissions to Crude API Gravity	4-52
4.3.3-1	Allocation of CO ₂ Emissions to Refined Products for Various Refinery Configurations	4-54
4.3.3-2	NETL Allocation of Life Cycle GHG Emissions from Petroleum Fuels	4-55
5.1.1-1	Refinery Combustion Fuels	5-10
5.1.1-2	Average Composition of Natural Gas and Refinery Gas	5-10
5.1.1-3	High-pressure Boiler Performance with Varying Fuel Composition	5-11
5.1.1-4	Medium-pressure Boiler Performance with Varying Fuel Composition	5-11
5.1.2-1	Fuel Savings from Preheating Combustion Air, %	5-15
5.1.2-2	Common Recuperator Configurations	5-16
5.1.2-3	Recommended Air Preheat Device	5-17
5.1.2-4	Furnace Firing Rate Conditions and Potential Fuel Savings	5-20
5.1.2-5	Potential Savings from Limiting Excess O ₂ Using CO Analyzers	5-25
5.1.2-6	Optimization of a Large Crude Heater Using Analyzers (Ametek)	5-25
5.1.2-7	Optimization of Various Heaters Using Analyzers (Ametek)	5-26
5.1.2-8	Commercial Example of High-emissivity Coatings	5-29
5.1.2-9	Process Heater Configurations	5-31
5.1.2-10	Commercial Process Heater Offerings (Selected Companies)	5-32
5.1.2-11	Process Heater Improvement Case Studies Presented by Industry	5-35
5.1.2-12	Fired Heater Base Case Data	5-35

Table	Title	Page
5.1.2-13	Assumptions for Heater Case Studies	5-36
5.1.2-14	Fired Heater Improvement Options	5-36
5.1.2-15	Process Heater Case I Results: 250MM Btu/h Crude Heater	5-38
5.1.2-16	Process Heater Case II Results: 100MM Btu/h Vacuum Heater	5-40
5.1.2-17	Summary of Process Heater Improvement Options	5-42
5.1.2-18	ABMA Recommended Maximum Concentrations in BFW	5-46
5.1.2-19	US DOE Sample Savings Calculation with Automatic Blowdown	5-50
5.1.2-20	Estimated Efficiency for New Boilers	5-53
5.1.2-21	Steam Generator Configurations	5-54
5.1.2-22	Commercial Steam Boilers for Refineries (Selected Companies)	5-56
5.1.2-23	Boiler Improvement Case Studies Presented by Industry	5-59
5.1.2-24	Industrial Boiler Improvement Options	5-61
5.1.2-25	Summary of Steam Generation Improvement Options	5-62
5.1.2-26	Comparison of CO ₂ Emissions from Power Plants	5-64
5.1.2-27	Capture Performance of Various CHP Configurations	5-70
5.1.2-28	CHP Power Sold to the Grid in the US	5-71
5.1.2-29	CHP Technology Summary	5-73
5.1.2-30	GE Gas Turbine Offerings	5-78
5.1.2-31	GE Gas Turbine Drive Sets	5-79
5.1.2-32	Mitsubishi Gas Turbine Offerings	5-80
5.1.2-33	Siemens Gas Turbine Offerings	5-82
5.1.2-34	Combined-cycle Process Variables: Impact on System Efficiency	5-87
5.1.2-35	HRSG Simulation Study	5-90
5.1.2-36	Syngas Composition Based on Gasifier Feedstock	5-96
5.1.2-37	ExxonMobil Cogeneration Capacity	5-104
5.1.2-38	Summary of Refinery-related CHP Plants in the US	5-110
5.1.2-39	Summary of Refinery-related CHP Plants in the UK	5-113
5.1.2-40	Saras IGCC Products, 2005-2008	5-116
5.1.2-41	Emissions Performance at Shell's Pernis Refinery	5-117
5.1.2-42	Installed Gasifiers Associated with Refinery IGCC Plants	5-119
5.1.2-43	Recent and Planned Gasifiers Associated with IGCC Plants	5-120
5.1.2-44	SFA Pacific Case Comparison: Separated Generation and CHP	5-121
5.1.2-45	Gasification-based Cogeneration Systems	5-122
5.1.2-46	Assumptions for NETL Cogeneration Study	5-122
5.1.2-47	Economic Comparison of IGCC Technology Options	5-123
5.1.2-48	Foster Wheeler Study of Refinery IGCC for Polygeneration	5-124
5.1.2-49	Default Values for Case Study Analysis	5-126
5.1.2-50	Boiler Case Data for Separated Generation	5-127
5.1.2-51	Separated Steam and Power Generation	5-128
5.1.2-52	Combined Heat and Power Cases	5-132
5.1.2-53	Capital and O&M Cost Estimates	5-133
5.1.2-54	Combined Heat and Power Case Study Cost Estimates	5-135
5.1.2-55	Single Gas Turbine with HRSG for use in CHP Cases 8-15	5-141
5.1.2-56	GT-based CHP Options for 100K-b/d Refinery	5-142

Table	Title	Page
5.1.2-57	Summary of Refinery Utility Supply Scenarios	5-152
5.1.3-1	Effect of Reduced Fouling on CO ₂ Emissions	5-157
5.1.3-2	Types of Anti-foulant Additives	5-163
5.1.3-3	Comparison of Heat Exchanger Retrofit Options	5-169
5.1.3-4	Comparison of Alternative Heat Exchanger Options	5-170
5.1.3-5	Common Values for ΔT_{min} for Pinch Analysis	5-174
5.1.3-6	Pinch Analysis Results from Four Different Software Programs	5-178
5.1.3-7	Comparison of Results for MINLP Heat Integration Example	5-181
5.1.3-8	Benefit of Heat Integration at Petroleum Refineries	5-183
5.1.3-9	Sources of Waste Heat in a Refinery	5-184
5.1.3-10	Average Flare Gas Characteristics at Tabriz Refinery	5-187
5.1.3-11	Impact of Boiler Blowdown Rate on Heat Recovery Opportunities	5-188
5.1.3-12	Utility Requirements for Distillation Column with and without Steam Ejector Heat Pump	5-190
5.1.3-13	Waste Heat Recovery Case Study Data	5-194
5.1.3-14	Economics of Waste Heat Recovery Improvement Measures	5-194
5.1.3-15	Options for Reducing CO ₂ Emissions by Waste Heat Recovery	5-197
5.1.3-16	Steam Loss for Various Orifice Diameters	5-201
5.1.3-17	Common Steam Trap Types	5-202
5.1.3-18	Steam System Case Study for 100K-b/d Refinery	5-208
5.1.3-19	Economics of Steam System Improvement Measures	5-211
5.1.3-20	Options for Improving Steam Distribution	5-212
5.1.3-21	Criteria for Using Adjustable Speed Drives	5-214
5.1.3-22	Options for Improving Pump System	5-219
5.1.3-23	Options for Improving Compressors	5-222
5.1.3-24	Motor Case Study I: Replace Standard 100-hp Motor	5-225
5.1.3-25	Motor Case Study II: Replace vs. Rewind 100-hp Motor	5-227
5.1.3-26	Impact of Upgrading to Premium Efficiency Motors	5-229
5.1.3-27	Electricity Consumption Improvement Options	5-229
5.1.4-1	Energy Consumed in 2001 by US Refining Processes	5-231
5.1.4-2	Energy Bandwidth Analysis for Major Refining Processes	5-231
5.1.4-3	Achievable Energy Improvements and CO ₂ Reductions for Refiners	5-232
5.1.4-4	Energy Consumed for Crude Distillation by US Refineries in 2005	5-234
5.1.4-5	Benefits of Optimizing CDU Operating Conditions	5-239
5.1.4-6	Effect of Distillation Column Internals on Efficiency	5-240
5.1.4-7	Economics of Alternative Distillation Configurations	5-245
5.1.4-8	Number of Possible Distillation Configurations	5-245
5.1.4-9	MVC and RTO Comparison	5-247
5.1.4-10	Summary of Technology Options for Improving CDU	5-248
5.1.4-11	Economics of Improvement Measures for 100K-b/d CDU	5-251
5.1.4-12	Energy Consumed for FCC by US Refineries in 2005	5-253
5.1.4-13	Comparison of Traditional PRT and Ones that Use a Multistage Steam Letdown Turbine	5-257
5.1.4-14	Properties of FCC Dry Gas Used to Generate Power in an Expander	5-259
5.1.4-15	Reduction in Regenerator Coke Burn Using Preheated Air	5-260
5.1.4-16	FCCU Gasoline Splitter Comparison	5-262

Table	Title	Page
5.1.4-17	Revamped Debutanizer for Improved Energy Efficiency	5-263
5.1.4-18	Improved FCC Product Yields with Catalyst Cooling	5-264
5.1.4-19	Summary of Technology Options for Improving FCC Energy Efficiency and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions	5-271
5.1.4-20	Case Study Data for 50K-b/d FCCU	5-275
5.1.4-21	Energy Consumed for Hydrotreating by US Refineries in 2005	5-276
5.1.4-22	Trends in Commercial Hydrotreating Catalysts	5-279
5.1.4-23	Equipment Requirements for Revamping with IsoTherming and with Conventional Means	5-282
5.1.4-24	Recovery of H ₂ from Hydrotreater Offgas Using RCPSA	5-284
5.1.4-25	Summary of Technology Options for Improving Hydrotreating Energy and H ₂ Efficiencies and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions	5-287
5.1.4-26	Case Study Data for 35K-b/d Hydrotreater	5-290
5.1.4-27	Energy Consumed for Hydrocracking by US Refineries in 2005	5-291
5.1.4-28	UOP Partial Conversion Unicracking	5-295
5.1.4-29	MPHC-PTU vs. MPHC and HPHC	5-296
5.1.4-30	Cost Savings for an IsoTherming Hydrocracker	5-297
5.1.4-31	Summary of Technology Options for Improving Hydrocracking Energy Efficiency and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions	5-299
5.1.4-32	Case Study Data for 30K-b/d Hydrocracker	5-302
5.1.4-33	Catalytic Reforming Utility Consumption Based on Regeneration Method	5-303
5.1.4-34	Energy Consumed for Catalytic Reforming by US Refineries in 2005	5-304
5.1.4-35	Summary of Technology Options for Improving Catalytic Reforming Energy Efficiency and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions	5-310
5.1.4-36	Case Study Data for 30K-b/d Cat Reformer	5-313
5.1.4-37	Comparison of Estimated Energy Consumption in H ₂ SO ₄ and HF Alkylation Processes	5-315
5.1.4-38	CDAlky Performance vs. Conventional MTBE-fed Unit	5-320
5.1.4-39	CDAlky Performance vs. Conventional FCC-fed Unit	5-320
5.1.4-40	Alkylation Electricity and Utility Consumption: 10K-b/d H ₂ SO ₄ -catalyzed Unit	5-324
5.1.4-41	Alkylation Electricity and Utility Consumption: 10K-b/d HF-catalyzed Unit	5-325
5.1.4-42	Summary of Technology Options for Improving Alkylation Energy Efficiency and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions	5-326
5.1.4-43	Case Study Data for a 10K-b/d Alkylation Unit	5-330
5.1.4-44	Effect of Steam Export from 50MM scf/d SMR on CO ₂ Emissions	5-333
5.1.4-45	Effect of Feed Choice on Energy Requirements for SMR	5-335
5.1.4-46	Advantages and Disadvantages of Pre-reforming	5-341
5.1.4-47	Comparison of Hydrogen Purification Options	5-346
5.1.4-48	Hydrogen Recovery Technology Options	5-346
5.1.4-49	Typical Hydrogen Recovery Technologies Used for ROG Streams	5-349
5.1.4-50	Effect of ROG H ₂ Content and Recovery Method on Cost	5-351
5.1.4-51	Options for Improving Hydrogen Production	5-357
5.1.4-52	Economics of H ₂ Plant Improvement Measures for 50K-Nm ³ /h H ₂ Plant	5-361
5.2.1-1	Life Cycle CO ₂ Emissions from Various Power Generation Sources	5-363
5.2.2-1	Regional Technical Renewable Energy Potential by 2050	5-366
5.2.2-2	Individual State's RPS	5-367
5.2.4-1	Global Wind Resources, 2008	5-369
5.2.4-2	Top Five Turbine Manufacturers by Installed Capacity, 2008	5-370

Table	Title	Page
5.2.4-3	Nine Steps in Constructing a Wind Farm	5-372
5.2.4-4	IOC Kandla Wind Turbine Details	5-374
5.2.4-5	Incremental Improvements in Wind Power Technology	5-376
5.2.4-6	Statoil's Hywind Project Specs	5-382
5.2.4-7	Wind Farms Base Case Conditions	5-388
5.2.4-8	Wind Farms Base Case Values	5-388
5.2.4-9	Wind Electricity Subsidies by Country	5-389
5.2.4-10	Wind Farms Case I: Carbon Breakeven Price for Base Case	5-389
5.2.4-11	Wind Farm Economics Using 10% Interest Rate	5-390
5.2.4-12	Wind Farms Case II: Carbon Breakeven Price at a 10% Interest Rate	5-391
5.2.4-13	Wind Farm Values in 2050	5-392
5.2.4-14	Wind Farms Case III: Carbon Breakeven Price in 2050	5-392
5.2.4-15	Offshore Wind Case Conditions	5-394
5.2.4-16	Offshore Wind Case Economics	5-394
5.2.4-17	Carbon Breakeven Price for Wind Farms Cases I-III	5-395
5.2.4-18	Solar Installations at Petrobras Refineries	5-398
5.2.4-19	Top 10 PV Module Manufacturers in terms of MWp Sold, 2007	5-400
5.2.4-20	PV Solar Modules Base Case Conditions	5-408
5.2.4-21	PV Solar Modules Base Case Values	5-409
5.2.4-22	PV Solar Electricity Subsidies by Country	5-409
5.2.4-23	PV Solar Modules Case I: Carbon Breakeven Price for Base Case	5-410
5.2.4-24	PV Solar Economics Using 10% Interest Rate	5-411
5.2.4-25	PV Solar Modules Case II: Carbon Breakeven Price at a 10% Interest Rate	5-411
5.2.4-26	Future PV Solar Economics	5-413
5.2.4-27	PV Solar Modules Case III: Carbon Breakeven Price for Future PV Solar Cells	5-413
5.2.4-28	Parabolic Trough CSP Case Conditions	5-414
5.2.4-29	Parabolic Trough CSP Case Values	5-414
5.2.4-30	Carbon Breakeven Price for PV Solar Cases I-III	5-415
5.2.4-31	Types of Biomass Fuels in Electricity Generation	5-418
5.2.4-32	Western States Near-term Geothermal Reserves	5-420
5.2.4-33	Permit Activity for Hydrokinetic Energy Sources	5-422
5.2.4-34	Agucadoura Wave Farm Details	5-422
5.2.5-1	Summary of Various Electricity Generation Sources	5-425
5.3.2-1	Characteristics of Potential SE-SMR Sorbents	5-429
5.3.2-2	Characteristics of Potential Steam Reforming Membranes	5-431
5.3.2-3	Recent Developments in Membrane Steam Reforming Reactor and Process Design	5-432
5.3.2-4	Pre-combustion Demonstration Projects	5-437
5.3.2-5	Potential Pre-combustion Solvents for CO ₂ Recovery	5-438
5.3.2-6	Potential Pre-combustion Adsorbents for CO ₂ Recovery	5-439
5.3.2-7	Potential Pre-combustion Membrane Materials for CO ₂ Recovery	5-441
5.3.2-8	Oxycombustion Demonstration Projects	5-443
5.3.2-9	Chemical Looping Combustion Oxygen Carriers	5-447
5.3.2-10	Recent Developments in Chemical Looping Combustion	5-448
5.3.2-11	Amine Solvents of Interest for Carbon Capture	5-450

Table	Title	Page
5.3.2-12	Post-combustion Demonstration Projects	5-457
5.3.2-13	Commercial Activity in Carbon Capture	5-459
5.3.2-14	Refinery-specific Carbon Capture Patents	5-462
5.3.3-1	CO ₂ Content of Potential Refinery Capture Streams	5-463
5.3.3-2	Chevron/Fluor Study of Various SMR Capture Methods	5-465
5.3.3-3	Most-likely Hydrogen Plant Capture Methods	5-466
5.3.3-4	Foster Wheeler Study of Refinery Gasification Capture	5-466
5.3.3-5	Most-likely Gasification Unit Capture Method	5-467
5.3.3-6	Capture Performance of Various CHP Configurations	5-469
5.3.3-7	Most-likely Capture Methods for Refinery Power/steam Plant	5-470
5.3.3-8	Most-likely FCC Unit Capture Method	5-472
5.3.3-9	Grangemouth Refinery Post-combustion Capture Utility Requirements	5-474
5.3.3-10	Grangemouth Refinery Post-combustion Capture Capital Costs	5-474
5.3.3-11	Shell Refinery Post-combustion Capture Utility Requirements	5-475
5.3.3-12	Most-likely Capture Methods for Refinery Process Heaters	5-475
5.3.3-13	Most-likely Fuel Gas System Capture Methods	5-476
5.3.3-14	Most-likely Coker Capture Method	5-477
5.3.4-1	Ranking of Refinery Units According to Capturability	5-478
5.3.4-2	Refinery Capture Areas in Order of Increasing Cost	5-480
5.3.4-3	Cost of US Refinery CO ₂ Emissions per Company	5-486
5.3.4-4	Potential Carbon Dioxide Storage Methods and Uses	5-487
6.2.1-1	Chevron GHG Emissions by Source and Sector, 2004-2008	6-2
6.2.1-2	Chevron Energy Efficiency Performance, 2004-2008	6-3
6.2.1-3	ConocoPhillips GHG Emissions and Flaring, 2004-2008	6-6
6.2.1-4	ExxonMobil GHG Emissions and Hydrocarbon Flaring, 2005-2008	6-8
6.2.1-5	ExxonMobil Cogeneration Capacity, 2005-2011	6-9
6.2.1-6	Sunoco GHG Emissions, 1990, 2006-2008	6-13
6.2.1-7	Sunoco Energy Conservation Measures	6-14
6.2.2-1	Imperial Oil GHG Emissions, 2003-2008	6-16
6.2.2-2	Suncor GHG Emissions, 2004-2010	6-21
6.2.2-3	Suncor Energy Intensity and Energy Use, 2004-2008	6-21
6.2.2-4	Suncor Cogeneration Sites	6-22
6.2.2-5	Suncor Wind Power Projects	6-22
6.2.2-6	Suncor Installed Wind Capacity, 2004-2008	6-22
6.2.3-1	Pemex CO ₂ Emissions, 2001-2008	6-25
6.2.3-2	Petrobras GHG Emissions, 2005-2008	6-27
6.2.3-3	Solar Installations at Petrobras Refineries	6-28
6.2.4-1	BP CO ₂ and GHG Emissions, 2004-2008	6-30
6.2.4-2	BP Wind Farms in the US	6-32
6.2.4-3	CEPSA CO ₂ Emissions, 2006-2008	6-35
6.2.4-4	Eni GHG Emissions, 2005-2008	6-38
6.2.4-5	Eni Combined Cycle Units	6-38
6.2.4-6	ERG CO ₂ Emissions, 2006-2008	6-40
6.2.4-7	ERG Wind Farms	6-41

Table	Title	Page
6.2.4-8	MOL CO ₂ Emissions, 2004-2008	6-45
6.2.4-9	Motor Oil Hellas CO ₂ Emissions, 2005-2008	6-47
6.2.4-10	OMV GHG and CO ₂ Emissions, 2004-2008	6-48
6.2.4-11	OMV Energy Consumption, 2004-2008	6-48
6.2.4-12	Energy Efficiency Projects Conducted Through OMV Future Energy Fund	6-49
6.2.4-13	Power Projects by OMV	6-49
6.2.4-14	Geothermal Energy Projects by OMV	6-50
6.2.4-15	CCS Projects Undertaken by OMV	6-51
6.2.4-16	Repsol Carbon Plan	6-52
6.2.4-17	Repsol Key Indicators of Environmental Performance, 2005-2008	6-53
6.2.4-18	Repsol Energy Consumption, 2005-2008	6-54
6.2.4-19	Repsol Participation in CCS Consortia	6-54
6.2.4-20	Shell GHG Emissions, CO ₂ Emissions, and Flaring	6-58
6.2.4-21	Shell Energy Intensity, 2001-2008	6-58
6.2.4-22	Shell North American Wind Projects	6-59
6.2.4-23	Shell CCS Projects	6-60
6.2.4-24	Saras CO ₂ Emissions, 2005-2008	6-61
6.2.4-25	Saras IGCC Products, 2005-2008	6-62
6.2.4-26	Hywind Project Specs	6-63
6.2.4-27	Agucadoura Wave Farm Details	6-65
6.2.4-28	Total GHG Emissions, 2006-2008	6-66
6.2.4-29	Total's Flaring and Venting, 2006-2008	6-69
6.2.5-1	Cosmo Oil CO ₂ Emissions and Energy Consumption, 1990, 2005-2007	6-71
6.2.5-2	Idemitsu Kosan, Energy Consumption at Refineries, 1990, 2003-2007	6-74
6.2.5-3	Indian Oil Wind Turbine Details	6-75
6.2.5-4	New Zealand Refining CO ₂ Emissions 2004-2008	6-76
6.2.5-5	SK Energy GHG Emissions, 2000-2007	6-80
6.2.5-6	SK Energy Usage, 2006-2008	6-81
6.2.6-1	Engen Petroleum GHG Emissions, 2007-2009	6-82
6.2.6-2	Engen Petroleum Energy Consumption, 2002-2006	6-82
6.2.8-1	Company Policies Summary	6-87
6.3.1-1	Chi Square Test Results	6-90
6.3.1-2	Survey Questions	6-90
6.4.1-1	Summary of Oil Company Biofuels Projects	6-135
7.1.1-1	Global GHG Reduction Targets	7-2
7.1.1-2	Approaches and Preferences of CO ₂ Management Strategies	7-2
7.2.1-1	CO ₂ Emissions from Refinery Sources in the US	7-4
7.2.1-2	CO ₂ Emissions from Combustion of Refinery Fuels	7-7
7.2.2-1	Summary of Refinery CHP Configuration Analyses	7-15
7.2.2-2	Summary of Various Electricity Generation Sources	7-22
7.2.2-3	Carbon Breakeven Price for Wind Farms (10-50 MW)	7-23
7.2.2-4	Carbon Breakeven Price for PV Solar Power (1-5 MW)	7-24
7.2.2-5	Solar Installations at Petrobras Refineries	7-24
7.2.2-6	Nine Steps in Constructing a Wind Farm	7-25

Table	Title	Page
7.2.2-7	Comparison of Heat Exchanger Retrofit Options	7-30
7.2.2-8	Comparison of New Heat Exchanger Options	7-31
7.2.2-9	Sources of Waste Heat in a Refinery	7-32
7.2.2-10	Waste Heat Recovery Case Studies	7-33
7.2.2-11	Steam System Case Studies	7-35
7.2.2-12	Motor System Improvement Options	7-36
7.2.2-13	Energy Intensity of Processing Units in a US Refinery in 2001	7-39
7.2.2-14	Energy Bandwidth Analysis for Major US Refining Processes	7-40
7.2.2-15	Summary of Technology Options for Improving CDU Energy Efficiency and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions	7-40
7.2.2-16	Summary of Technology Options for Improving Hydrotreating Energy and H ₂ Efficiencies and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions	7-43
7.2.2-17	Summary of Technology Options for Improving Hydrocracking Energy Efficiency and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions	7-45
7.2.2-18	Summary of Technology Options for Improving Catalytic Reforming Energy Efficiency and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions	7-47
7.2.2-19	Summary of Technology Options for Improving Alkylation Energy Efficiency and Reducing CO ₂ Emissions	7-49
7.2.2-20	Technology Options for Improving Hydrogen Production	7-51
7.2.3-1	Ranking of Refinery Units According to Capturability	7-59
7.2.4-1	Carbon Strategies by Major Oil Firms	7-64
7.3.1-1	Urgent Energy and Environmental Issues Facing Regions Throughout the World	7-72

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
2.2.1-1	CO ₂ Emissions by Country, 2006	2-2
2.3.1-1	Options for Meeting Refinery Steam, Heat, and Power Demands	2-22
2.3.1-2	Total Steam Usage in US Refineries by Process Unit, T Btu	2-38
3.1.1-1	CO ₂ Emissions by Country, 2006	3-2
4.2.4-1	Effects of Emissions Uncertainty and Variation in Carbon Tax or Emissions Fee on Project NPV	4-31
4.3.2-1	Refinery CO _{2e} Emissions as a Function of Crude Quality	4-49
4.3.2-2	Common US Crudes and Their Refining and WTW CO _{2e} Emissions	4-50
4.3.3-1	Effect of Gas Oil-to-Gasoline Ratio on EU Refinery CO ₂ Emissions	4-53
5.1.2-1	Process Heater Case I Improvement Options: 250MM-Btu/y Crude Heater	5-39
5.1.2-2	Process Heater Case II Improvement Options: 100MM-Btu/y Vacuum Heater	5-41
5.1.2-3	Super Boiler Process Flow Scheme	5-58
5.1.2-4	Options for Meeting Refinery Steam, Heat, and Power Demands	5-66
5.1.2-5	Trends in Refinery Electricity and Steam Consumption (Select Countries)	5-67
5.1.2-6	CHP Advantage over Separated Generation	5-69
5.1.2-7	Boiler with Steam Turbine CHP Configuration	5-75
5.1.2-8	Simple Cycle Gas Turbine and HRSG CHP Configuration	5-77
5.1.2-9	Combined-cycle CHP Configuration	5-85
5.1.2-10	Microturbine CHP Configuration	5-89
5.1.2-11	IGCC CHP Configuration (No Carbon Capture)	5-92
5.1.2-12	Fuel for Refinery-related CHP Applications in the United States	5-100
5.1.2-13	Prime Mover Technology for US Refinery-related CHP Applications	5-100
5.1.2-14	Separated Generation of Steam and Electricity (100K-b/d Refinery; Direct Emissions Only)	5-129
5.1.2-15	Separated Generation of Steam and Electricity (100K-b/d Refinery; Direct + Indirect Emissions)	5-130
5.1.2-16	NG-fueled Separated Generation with and without the Impact of Indirect Emissions	5-131
5.1.2-17	Fuel Comparison: Boiler with 15 MW Steam Turbine (Direct Emissions Only)	5-136
5.1.2-18	Fuel Comparison: Boiler with 15 MW Steam Turbine (Direct + Indirect Emissions)	5-137
5.1.2-19	Fuel Comparison: Boiler with 31.925 MW Steam Turbine	5-137
5.1.2-20	Turbine Comparison: NG-fed Boiler with Various Steam Turbines (Direct Emissions Only)	5-138
5.1.2-21	Turbine Comparison: NG-fed Boiler with Various Steam Turbines (Direct + Indirect Emissions)	5-139
5.1.2-22	Gas Turbine CHP W/O Duct Burning	5-144
5.1.2-23	Gas Turbine CHP W/Duct Burning (100MM Btu/h; Direct Emissions Only)	5-144
5.1.2-24	Gas Turbine CHP W/Duct Burning (100MM Btu/h; Direct + Indirect Emissions)	5-145
5.1.2-25	Gas Turbine CHP W/Duct Burning (250MM Btu/h; Direct Emissions Only)	5-146
5.1.2-26	Gas Turbine CHP W/Duct Burning (250MM Btu/h; Direct + Indirect Emissions)	5-147
5.1.2-27	Natural Gas-fed Utility Supply Systems (for 100K-b/d Refinery; Direct Emissions Only)	5-148
5.1.2-28	Natural Gas-fed Utility Supply Systems (for 100K-b/d Refinery; Direct + Indirect Emissions)	5-149
5.1.3-1	Pinch Analysis Composite Curves	5-175
5.1.3-2	Pinch Analysis Grand Composite Curve	5-176
5.1.3-3	Process Diagram for MINLP Heat Integration Example	5-180
5.1.3-4	Flare Gas Recovery Unit	5-186
5.1.3-5	Effect of Boiler Blowdown Heat Recovery on CO ₂ Emissions	5-189

Figure	Title	Page
5.1.3-6	Simplified Absorption Refrigeration Cycle	5-191
5.1.3-7	Economics of Waste Heat Recovery Options for 100MM Btu/h Boiler	5-196
5.1.3-8	Economic Analysis of Flare Gas Recovery	5-196
5.1.3-9	Total Steam Usage in US Refineries by Process Unit	5-199
5.1.3-10	Effect of Steam System Improvements on Total Annualized Cost at 100K-b/d Refinery	5-210
5.1.3-11	Effect of Steam System Improvements on Fuel and Emission Costs at 100K-b/d Refinery	5-211
5.1.3-12	Effect of Pipe Diameter on Cost of Pumping	5-218
5.1.3-13	Motor Case Study I: Replace Standard 100-hp Motor	5-226
5.1.3-14	Motor Case Study II: Replace vs. Rewind 100-hp Motor	5-228
5.1.4-1	Economics of Improving ADU Efficiency in a 100K-b/d Refinery	5-235
5.1.4-2	Distillation Column Feed Inlet Devices	5-240
5.1.4-3	Progressive Crude Distillation Diagram	5-243
5.1.4-4	Distributed Distillation Configuration	5-244
5.1.4-5	Economic Analysis of Improvements for 100K-b/d CDU	5-250
5.1.4-6	Traditional Power Recovery Train	5-255
5.1.4-7	Gen Set Power Recovery Train	5-255
5.1.4-8	UOP Scheme for Use of Multistage Steam Letdown Turbine in FCCU Power Recovery Train	5-256
5.1.4-9	Power Recovery using Supplemental Heating of FCC Regenerator Flue Gas	5-258
5.1.4-10	Flow Scheme for Conventional Cooler for FCCU Flue Gas	5-260
5.1.4-11	Flow Scheme for Improved Efficiency Cooler for FCCU Flue Gas	5-261
5.1.4-12	Optimized Main Fractionator Pumparound Configuration	5-262
5.1.4-13	Economics of Technology Options for Reducing CO ₂ Emissions in FCC Operations	5-274
5.1.4-14	Two-stage MQD Unionfining Process	5-278
5.1.4-15	DuPont IsoTherming Process	5-281
5.1.4-16	Economics of Technology Options for Reducing CO ₂ Emissions in Hydrotreating Operations	5-289
5.1.4-17	UOP HyCycle Unicracking	5-294
5.1.4-18	IsoTherming Process for Hydrocracking Gas Oil	5-297
5.1.4-19	Economics of Technology Options for Reducing CO ₂ Emissions in Hydrocracking Operations	5-301
5.1.4-20	Economics of Technology Options for Reducing CO ₂ Emissions in Catalytic Reforming Operations	5-312
5.1.4-21	Schematic Diagram of Sulfuric Acid Alkylation	5-316
5.1.4-22	Schematic Diagram of HF Acid Alkylation	5-318
5.1.4-23	Economics of Technology Options for Reducing CO ₂ Emissions in H ₂ SO ₄ Alkylation	5-328
5.1.4-24	Economics of Technology Options for Reducing CO ₂ Emissions in HF Alkylation	5-329
5.1.4-25	Autothermal Reforming-based Hydrogen Plant Diagram	5-344
5.1.4-26	Gas Heated Reformer Hydrogen Plant Diagram	5-345
5.1.4-27	Hydrogen Recovery Using Prism Membrane System	5-352
5.1.4-28	Hydrogen Composite Curves for Pinch Analysis	5-355
5.1.4-29	Hydrogen Pinch Plot	5-355
5.1.4-30	Effect of Fuel Choice on Fuel and CO ₂ Cost for 50K-Nm ³ /h H ₂ Plant	5-360
5.1.4-31	Effect of H ₂ Plant Improvement Measures on Fuel and CO ₂ Cost	5-360
5.2.4-1	Wind Energy Capacity by Country, 2004-2008	5-369
5.2.4-2	Wind Turbine Technology	5-370
5.2.4-3	Evolution of Wind Turbine Technology	5-371
5.2.4-4	CO ₂ Emissions Reduction by Region with 12% Wind by 2050, mt/y	5-385

Figure	Title	Page
5.2.4-5	Net Annualized Cost for Wind Farms in Base Case	5-390
5.2.4-6	Net Annualized Cost for Wind Farms at a 10% Interest Rate	5-391
5.2.4-7	Net Annualized Cost for Wind Farms in 2050 Case	5-393
5.2.4-8	Net Annualized Cost for Offshore Wind Farm Case	5-395
5.2.4-9	Solar Energy Capacity by Country, 2004-2008	5-397
5.2.4-10	Typical Solar Cell	5-399
5.2.4-11	Basic CSP System	5-403
5.2.4-12	PV Power Costs as a Function of Module Efficiency and Cost	5-406
5.2.4-13	Net Annualized Cost for PV Solar Modules Base Case	5-410
5.2.4-14	Net Annualized Cost for PV Solar Modules at a 10% Interest Rate	5-412
5.2.4-15	Net Annualized Cost Associated with Future PV Solar Cells Case	5-413
5.2.4-16	Net Annualized Cost for Parabolic Trough CSP Case	5-415
5.2.4-17	Geothermal Energy Capacity by Country, 2004-2008	5-421
5.3.2-1	Carbon Capture Overview	5-428
5.3.2-2	MCM Reactor Concept	5-445
5.3.2-3	Chemical Looping Combustion	5-446
5.3.2-4	Carbonation-calcination CO ₂ Capture Cycle	5-454
5.3.3-1	Grangemouth Refinery Post-combustion Capture	5-473
5.3.4-1	Total Refinery CO ₂ Cost; Base Case	5-483
5.3.4-2	Total Refinery CO ₂ Cost; Worst-case Scenario	5-484
5.3.4-3	Total Refinery CO ₂ Cost; Best-case Scenario	5-485
5.3.5-1	The Refiner's Approach to Carbon Capture	5-488
6.3.1-1	Regional Responses to Survey	6-91
6.3.1-2	Global Carbon Legislation Preference	6-92
6.3.1-3	Global Carbon Management Definition	6-92
6.3.1-4	Use of Energy Management Programs at Global Refineries	6-93
6.3.1-5	Use of Energy Efficiency Benchmarking at Global Refineries	6-93
6.3.1-6	Energy Management and Energy Efficiency Benchmarking Benefits at Global Refineries	6-94
6.3.1-7	Use of Onsite or Exclusively-associated Power Generation Systems at Global Refineries	6-95
6.3.1-8	"Prime Mover" in Onsite Generation at Global Refineries	6-95
6.3.1-9	Fuels Used at Global Refineries	6-96
6.3.1-10	Current or Future CCS Projects at Global Refineries	6-97
6.3.1-11	Global Refinery Point Sources for CCS Projects	6-97
6.3.1-12	Global Refineries Preferred Carbon Capture Technologies	6-98
6.3.1-13	Planned CO ₂ Storage Methods for Global Refineries	6-98
6.3.1-14	Best Method for Global Refineries to Meet CO ₂ Caps	6-99
6.3.1-15	North American Carbon Legislation Preference	6-100
6.3.1-16	North American Carbon Management Definition	6-101
6.3.1-17	Use of Energy Management Programs at North American Refineries	6-101
6.3.1-18	Use of Energy Efficiency Benchmarking at North American Refineries	6-102
6.3.1-19	Energy Management and Energy Efficiency Benchmarking Benefits at North American Refineries	6-102
6.3.1-20	Onsite or Exclusively-associated Power Generation Systems at North American Refineries	6-103
6.3.1-21	"Prime Mover" in Onsite Generation at North American Refineries	6-103
6.3.1-22	Fuels Used at North American Refineries	6-104

Figure	Title	Page
6.3.1-23	Current or Future CCS Projects at North American Refineries	6-104
6.3.1-24	North American Refinery Point Sources for CCS Projects	6-105
6.3.1-25	North American Refineries Preferred Carbon Capture Technologies	6-105
6.3.1-26	Planned CO ₂ Storage Methods in North America	6-106
6.3.1-27	Best Method for North American Refineries to Meet CO ₂ Caps	6-107
6.3.1-28	European Carbon Legislation Preference	6-107
6.3.1-29	European Carbon Management Definition	6-108
6.3.1-30	Use of Energy Management Programs at European Refineries	6-108
6.3.1-31	Use of Energy Efficiency Benchmarking at European Refineries	6-109
6.3.1-32	Energy Management and Energy Efficiency Benchmarking Benefits at European Refineries	6-109
6.3.1-33	Onsite or Exclusively-associated Power Generation Systems at European Refineries	6-110
6.3.1-34	"Prime Mover" in onsite generation at European Refineries	6-110
6.3.1-35	Fuels Used at European Refineries	6-111
6.3.1-36	Current or Future CCS Projects at European Refineries	6-111
6.3.1-37	European Refinery Point Sources for CCS Projects	6-112
6.3.1-38	Preferred Carbon Capture Technologies for European Refineries	6-112
6.3.1-39	Planned CO ₂ Storage Methods in Europe	6-113
6.3.1-40	Best Method for European Refineries to Meet CO ₂ Caps	6-113
6.3.1-41	Independent Oil Companies Carbon Legislation Preference	6-114
6.3.1-42	Independent Oil Companies Carbon Management Definition	6-115
6.3.1-43	Use of Energy Management Programs at Independent Oil Companies	6-115
6.3.1-44	Use of Energy Efficiency Benchmarking at Independent Oil Companies	6-116
6.3.1-45	Energy Management and Energy Efficiency Benchmarking Benefits at Independent Oil Companies	6-116
6.3.1-46	Onsite or Exclusively-associated Power Generation Systems at Independent Oil Companies	6-117
6.3.1-47	"Prime Mover" in Onsite Generation at Independent Oil Companies	6-117
6.3.1-48	Fuels Used at Independent Oil Companies' Refineries	6-118
6.3.1-49	Current or Future CCS Projects at Independent Oil Companies	6-118
6.3.1-50	Point Sources for CCS Projects at Independent Oil Companies	6-119
6.3.1-51	Independent Oil Companies Preferred Carbon Capture Technologies	6-119
6.3.1-52	Planned CO ₂ Storage Methods at Independent Oil Companies	6-120
6.3.1-53	Best Method for Independent Oil Companies to Meet CO ₂ Caps	6-120
6.3.1-54	Integrated Oil Companies Carbon Legislation Preference	6-121
6.3.1-55	Integrated Oil Companies Carbon Management Definition	6-121
6.3.1-56	Use of Energy Management Programs at Integrated Oil Companies	6-122
6.3.1-57	Use of Energy Efficiency Benchmarking at Integrated Oil Companies	6-122
6.3.1-58	Energy Management and Energy Efficiency Benchmarking Benefits at Integrated Oil Companies	6-123
6.3.1-59	Onsite or Exclusively-associated Power Generation Systems at Integrated Oil Companies	6-123
6.3.1-60	"Prime Mover" in Onsite Generation at Integrated Oil Companies	6-124
6.3.1-61	Fuels Used at Integrated Oil Companies' Refineries	6-124
6.3.1-62	Current or Future CCS Projects at Integrated Oil Companies	6-125
6.3.1-63	Point Sources for CCS Projects at Integrated Oil Companies	6-126
6.3.1-64	Integrated Oil Companies Preferred Carbon Capture Technologies	6-126
6.3.1-65	Planned CO ₂ Storage Methods at Integrated Oil Companies	6-127
6.3.1-66	Best Method for Integrated Oil Companies to Meet CO ₂ Caps	6-127

Figure	Title	Page
6.3.1-67	National Oil Companies Carbon Legislation Preference	6-128
6.3.1-68	National Oil Companies Carbon Management Definition	6-128
6.3.1-69	Use of Energy Management Programs at National Oil Companies	6-129
6.3.1-70	Use of Energy Efficiency Benchmarking at National Oil Companies	6-129
6.3.1-71	Energy Management and Energy Efficiency Benchmarking Benefits at National Oil Companies	6-130
6.3.1-72	Onsite or Exclusively-associated Power Generation Systems at National Oil Companies	6-131
6.3.1-73	"Prime Mover" in Onsite Generation at National oil Companies	6-131
6.3.1-74	Fuels Used at National Oil Companies' Refineries	6-132
6.3.1-75	Current or Future CCS Projects at National Oil Companies	6-132
6.3.1-76	Point Sources for CCS Projects at National Oil Companies	6-133
6.3.1-77	National Oil Companies Preferred Carbon Capture Technologies	6-133
6.3.1-78	Planned CO ₂ Storage Methods at National Oil Companies	6-134
6.3.1-79	Best Method for National Oil Companies to Meet CO ₂ Caps	6-134
7.1.1-1	Three Strategies of Refinery CO ₂ Management	7-2
7.2.1-1	Carbon Sources in a Refinery Operation	7-3
7.2.3-1	The Refiner's Approach to Carbon Capture	7-60
7.4.1-1	Timeline of Events Affecting Global Refiners	7-73